11/24/67 - Josiah Thompson - Jack McKinley
Not enough metal missing from 399 is wrong
"Maybe a grain and a half" removed from wrist - washed out - chest & thigh - Shaw said more than 3 grains in wrist.

Six months ago saw staff memos denied me until after 9/15 - re staff conference with Edgewood arsenal

write him

Defense of Commission on sixth floor window
"Best eye-witness" - Brennan!
He began this by talking of "hard evidence" & then conjectures a "short round" - underloaded or weak.
Assumes ammo stated is ~~[illegible]~~ used

If the first shot hit JFK in back, came from 6th floor window, & was at 45-60° angle (FBI) how can he account for incidence angle was about 15° in body? How about Hughes film showing no one in window
How can he say first shot not before 210? Zap - Willis "show exact point where Governor is hit" only if by a single bullet only
Test on Connally angle to 6th floor window not applied to JFK first wound in back
What happened to sixth-floor assassin?
Commission did not know ~~[illegible]~~ assumes suspect? JJ did.
Shot before 210 "unlikely" - when hard evidence is that it happened. His argument against it is based on 6th floor source.
Frame 190 - says ~~[illegible]~~ CBS's is not the first & then he discovered it "2 years ago" - no mention of first publication & out of context in the assumption that all striking comes from motion to specific shots. This is [illegible]

from Zapruder alone. It fits with other things
like Willis #5, Betzner etc
CBS's rifle test: "scientific test" that was "in a scientific manner"!!!

That Connally + JFK not hit by single bullet not previously commented on - only in WWII, which prints the O.R. reports + pictures!
Life why "never available to the Commission"
a) frames shown or th b) slides the ~~frame~~ same
Quoting of "documents I found in the Archives"
The FBI + SS said not single bullet theory - published a year ago - before Js book written
Even his conclusion "I am beginning [illegible] now" - comes from W.W.
Life frames not published - ? and without them can't be seen? He was told by Ray Marcus who had no access to LIFE + used only published versions
[illegible] offer is only a stunt. see above
Case can be disproved without Zap film, important as that is
Alvarez report
Critics have embarrassed - by speculation
Garrison + Salandria only said O. had connection with Federal Govt.
O's guilt - "conflicting evidence" - can't answer
Answer to [illegible] - shot is in "testimony."
Learned from CBS what I published in PW on shirt - CBS shirt shows spaces in shirt